

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1030 OF 1998
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST.NO.18812 OF 1994

.....
Office Notes, Office
memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's
orders or directions
& Registrar's orders.

Court's or
Judge's orders

.....
Ms V. Advani for the Applicant
Mr.A.R.Patil,A.G.P. for Respondent No.7
Mr.A.H.Delhiwala for Respondent No.1,5
and 6

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATED: AUGUST 4, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard learned Counsel for the Applicant and the learned Counsel for Respondent Nos.1,5 and 6. Learned A.G.P. appears for Respondent No.7. Respondent Nos.2,3 and 4 are duly served with the notice. Application is strongly opposed by the learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1,5 and 6. Necessary amendment has been carried out by the Applicant to the application for condonation of delay. After perusing the averments made in the Application, I find that sufficient cause is made out. However, considering the length of delay, the contesting

Respondents will have to be compensated by ordering payment of costs.

2. Hence, Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) subject to condition of the Applicant paying costs of Rs.7,500/- to the Respondent Nos.1,5 and 6 within a period of six weeks from today. Payment of costs will be a condition precedent.

Judge.